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Tóm tắt
Trong bài báo này, chúng tôi đề xuất một phương pháp điều khiển cho robot tự hành để bám quỹ đạo cho trước trong môi trường trong nhà. Để bám được theo quỹ đạo, các kỹ thuật sử dụng logic mờ với thông tin đầu vào từ hệ thống xử lý ảnh đã được nghiên cứu và áp dụng. Phương pháp điều khiển sử dụng trong hệ thống là sự kết hợp giữa bộ điều khiển lái – bộ điều khiển lari PID-mờ, và bộ điều khiển vận tốc tuyến tính – bộ điều khiển mô. Để khẳng định tính đúng đắn của giải thuật điều khiển, chúng tôi đã tiến hành thử nghiệm trên một robot tự hành, robot thiết kế dựa trên cơ sở các linh kiện sẵn có trên thị trường. Thử nghiệm chỉ ra rằng, robot sử dụng giải thuật chúng tôi đề xuất bám tốt theo quỹ đạo cho trước – bao gồm cả quỹ đạo cong và quỹ đạo thẳng.

Từ khóa: Hệ thống điều khiển, robot tự hành, hệ thống xử lý ảnh, logic mờ, bộ điều khiển lái, bộ điều khiển tốc độ.

Abstract
In this work, we propose a method for autonomous path/line following of differential-drive wheeled robot to track straight and curved paths in an indoor environment. In order to follow the path/line, fuzzy logic techniques are applied to generate movement with the information extracted from vision system. The control method in the system combines a steering controller, which is implemented by an intelligent hybrid fuzzy PID controller, controlling the steering angle of the robot, and fuzzy velocity controller, which controls the forward linear velocity with the purpose of safe tracking. We do experiments using an open source differential-drive wheeled robot platform (Figure 1). In the experiments, the robot is successfully able to follow a pre-defined path/line containing both straight and curved sections. The effectiveness of the control algorithm, combining steering and velocity controllers, is examined in these experiments.
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List of Symbols

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symbols</th>
<th>Unit</th>
<th>Meaning</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>L</td>
<td>m</td>
<td>distance between the two driving wheels</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R</td>
<td>m</td>
<td>radius of the wheel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d</td>
<td>m</td>
<td>distance between the wheel’s axis and the central of ROI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>θ</td>
<td>rad</td>
<td>angle between the direction of the robot and the x axis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V_l, V_r, V</td>
<td>m/s</td>
<td>the left, right wheel velocities and linear velocity of the robot respectively</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>m</td>
<td>distance between the directive axis of the robot and the path in ROI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ω_1, ω_2, ω</td>
<td>rad/s</td>
<td>angular velocities of the two driving wheels and the mobile robot</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ROI</td>
<td>region of interest</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IPM</td>
<td>inverse perspective mapping</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RMS</td>
<td>root mean square</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1. Introduction
Autonomous mobile robots are machines, which are characterized by nonlinear and highly complex dynamics. Research on mobile robots is very broad because of their ability to work through large application domains, such as: (1) transportation, (2) planetary exploration, (3) surveillance, (4) security, (5) military targets tracking, and (6) human-machine-interfaces for people with mobility deficiency, and
has still many open problems. One of the critical problems is path-tracking robots, which includes a variety of theories and technologies such as ultrasonic mapping and vision systems.

Indeed, the process that deals with this complex problem involves handles many issues including acquisition and processing of sensory data, decision making, trajectory planning, and motion control [1]. In addition, it is difficult to accurately model the interaction between the ground and the robot. Moreover, a number of subsystems in mobile robots exhibit nonlinear and time-varying behavior [2].

However, there are several solutions from the motion control point of view that have been proposed and applied in recent years. Firstly, the adaptive method [3, 4] can adjust control law by the variable parameters on system, and a certain performance criteria could be achieved. By contrast, its realization is complex, and it is not easy to meet the real-time performance of mobile robot motion control. Secondly, the backstepping method [5, 6] is widely used for tracking, but the controller structure, design and implementation process are complicated. In addition, it requests the robot to be able to give as large acceleration as possible, which may be unsafe for robot movement. The other one that is sliding mode control [7-9]. This one has outstanding merit of robustness against structured and unstructured uncertainties, on the other hand, exploring uncertainty and determining the information about the uncertainty is difficult in practice.

Intelligent techniques provide a promising approach to solve the issue of motion control with capacity of no longer relying on mathematical models and getting out of the linear constraints. In [1, 2, 10-12], the authors proposed fuzzy logic method to overcome nonlinearities, and uncertainties of the system model. However, fuzzy controllers cannot be totally summarized for influence by the subjective factors of a person, in addition, the steady state error is hard to be eliminated, and this affects the quality of control. The optimization algorithms, which do not need to consider the dynamic equations and have excellent characteristics, must be good and while hardware platform is strong enough so that convergence speed keeps up with the motion of the robot [13-15].

In this paper, an intelligent hybrid fuzzy PID controller for steering purpose and fuzzy controller for efficient velocity of robot are introduced. The hybrid controller is developed to deal with the friction disturbances, gear backlash, wheel slippage, and modeling uncertainties and the fuzzy controller is used to provide suitable velocity when mobile robot moves in different form of paths. The input of the system, which comes from vision system, will be carefully examined with image processing techniques. As a result, a higher path/line tracking performance of the wheeled-drive mobile robot can be achieved. Comparative experimental results will be presented to verify the effectiveness of the proposed control strategy in actual implementation.

This paper, consisting of six sections, can be organized as follows. Section 2 presents the kinematic model of the mobile robot. Vision system, which provides the path/line information, with effectively proposed image processing techniques are described in section 3. Section 4 illustrates the proposed control scheme, which emphasizes the reasoning methods for constructing a hybrid steering fuzzy PID controller and a velocity fuzzy controller. Experimental results of the proposed algorithm with a mobile robot are given in section 5, followed by the conclusion in section 6.

2. Kinematic model of mobile robot

The mobile robot used in this study has two driving wheels at the back, powered independently and a free wheeling caster to support the mobile platform at the front of the robot. Fig. 2 indicates a sketch of top view of the mobile robot and all relevant parameters of the robot. The mobile robot can steer towards the left or the right by differentially changing $V_l$ and $V_r$.

![Figure 1 Wheeled-drive mobile robot prototype](image1)

![Figure 2 Top view of the mobile robot](image2)
It is assumed that the masses, which have the center in the middle of axis connecting the centers of the two differential wheels, and the inertia of the wheels are negligible. In addition, the wheels are non-deformable and roll without lateral sliding. At the point $P(x, y)$, the kinematic equations describing the model of the mobile robot are given as follows \[15, 16\]:

\[
\begin{align*}
V_x &= R\omega_x \\
V_y &= R\omega_y \\
x &= V\cos\theta \\
y &= V\sin\theta \\
\theta &= \omega
\end{align*}
\]

As a result, the robot can be controlled by the parameters $\omega_x$ and $\omega_y$, which are the angular velocities of the wheels of the robot.

3. Vision System

The vision system acts as a smart sensor that acquires and processes the information needed to control the robot along the path/line. More specifically, after extracting the path/line on the ground from images captured by a pinhole camera and creating a scanline, the vision system determines the parameter $E$ (Fig. 1), which is distance between the directive axis of the robot and the center of the path. This parameter is used as input into our proposed algorithm.

To accomplish this task, a USB camera is mounted on the mobile robot at fixed position – point C in Fig. 1. The image processing algorithm, implemented by LabVIEW programming language, has a simple structure, with a modest consumable time – from 8.5 to 10 frames/second, represented in Figure 3.

As a result, the robot can be controlled by the parameters $\omega_x$ and $\omega_y$, which are the angular velocities of the wheels of the robot.

3.2 Inverse perspective mapping

Inverse perspective mapping [20-23] is a geometrical transformation technique whereby a coordinate system can be transformed from one perspective to another. Mathematically, IPM can be described as a projection from a 3D Euclidean space, $w = \{(x, y, z)\}E^3$ (real world space), onto a 2D planar, $I = \{(u, v)\}E^2$ (remapped image space). Figure 5 shows the relationships between the two spaces, $w$ and $I$. In order to generate a 2D view of 3D scene, it is assumed that the ground is flat and the following parameters are known:

\[
x = \frac{R}{L} \cos \theta \\
y = \frac{R}{L} \sin \theta \\
\theta = \omega
\]

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
x \\
y \\
\theta
\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}
2 & 2 & \cos \omega_x & \cos \omega_y \\
2 & 2 & \sin \omega_x & \sin \omega_y \\
0 & 0 & \omega_x & \omega_y
\end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix}
R \cos \theta \\
R \sin \theta \\
\omega_x \\
\omega_y
\end{bmatrix}
\]

Figure 4 Block diagram of creating calibration information

Figure 5 Relation between world plane and image plane
• the camera position, \( C = (l, d, h) \in W \)
• the optical axis of the camera: the yaw angle (\( \gamma \)) and the inclination angle (\( \beta \))
• the camera angular aperture \( 2\alpha \)
• the camera resolution is \( m \times n \)

According to [23], the transformation: \( (w \longrightarrow \mathcal{I}) \) is given as follows:

\[
\begin{align*}
\begin{bmatrix}
u \\
v
\end{bmatrix} &=
\begin{bmatrix}
\beta x, y, 0 - \beta - \alpha \\
\gamma x, y, 0 - \gamma - \alpha
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
\frac{2\alpha}{n - 1} \\
\frac{2\alpha}{m - 1}
\end{bmatrix}
\end{align*}
\]  

Where

\[
\begin{align*}
\beta x, y, 0 &= \arctg \left[ \frac{y - d}{x - l} \right] \\
\gamma x, y, 0 &= \arctg \left[ \frac{h \sin \beta x, y, 0}{y - d} \right]
\end{align*}
\]  

While the transformation: \( (\mathcal{I} \longrightarrow w) \), \((x, y, z)\), can be obtained by:

\[
\begin{align*}
\begin{bmatrix}
h \cot (\gamma - \alpha + u) \\
h \cot (\gamma - \alpha + u)
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
\frac{2\alpha}{n - 1} \\
\frac{2\alpha}{m - 1}
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
\beta - \alpha + v \\
\beta - \alpha + v
\end{bmatrix}
\end{align*}
\]  

In practice, there are some factors that need to be considered when implement IPM to achieve good transformation. First, this is the position of the camera. For simplistic and precise purposes, the camera viewfinder should be laid in the center of the image and focusing the vanishing point. In addition, setting up the inclination angle (\( \beta \)) is also crucial. If it is too narrow, the remapped image will covers too much of the ground surface and produces unwanted information. And if the inclination angle (\( \beta \)) is too wide, only a small area will be captured. This maybe causes a big problem for the motion control of the robot.

In the our system, IPM is implemented by IMAQ Correct Calibrated Image VI in which the inputs of the function are a new image acquired and the calibration information from the previous step. The picture (3) in Fig. 3 is an example of this transformation.

\[\text{3.3 Generating vision system output}\]

The purpose of adopting an image processing algorithm in the robot is to provide good information about the path/line on the ground. There are several approaches that determine which information is. In [1, 14, 24], the authors estimated the parameters such as the value of curvature in the bend ahead of the mobile, the lateral error and the heading error. However, with an unknown path/line, the estimation of the value of curvature is very difficult and time consuming. In [25, 26], the authors proposed the luminance and intensity difference between the left half and the right half of the image, respectively. They are simple, but do not tell enough information about the path/line near around the robot.

We propose the parameter \( E \), which provides information about how the mobile robot moves with respect to the path/line, as indicated in Fig. 2. This parameter can be calculated by first discarding the non-information part of the calibrated image, the shearing, – the lower part of the picture (3) in Fig. 3. After that, a ROI, which is selected by trial and error method, is extracted, and then enlarged – picture (4) in Fig. 3. Then, the path/line in the enlarged image is extracted by common image processing techniques such as filtering, removing particle, eroding and dilating. Simultaneously, a scan-line, which includes even elements, is created. Finally, the result image is added with the scan-line. The position of a scan-line is at the center of the image, and the number of its elements in the left and the right half of the image is the same. In addition, all elements fit into the image, and only the elements of the path/line position have the value 1, others are 0 – Fig. 6. As a result, the parameter \( E \) is calculated.

\[\text{Figure 6 Calculation of the parameter } E\]

\[\text{4. The proposed control scheme}\]

We have structured the automatic robot control system in a combining architecture of the steering controller and the velocity controller. The block diagram representing the proposed control scheme is given in Figure 7. The hybrid steering controller, which has an output \( U_s \), controls the steering angle of the mobile robot. The reason of using the hybrid architecture is to take the advantages of both the fuzzy controllers and the PID controllers. As stated above, the robot system has lots of non-linearities. The fuzzy controllers, which emulate the human behavior, do not require an accurate mathematical model and have a quick response and that means they are good with nonlinear systems. However, the PID controllers do not deal with non-linearities well, but are more accurate and precise than the fuzzy controllers. To decide which controller to be used, a selector is constructed. The velocity controller with the output \( U_v \), on the other hands, controls the forward linear velocity of the mobile robot for safe tracking of a continuous path/line on the flat ground.

It is noted that the two outputs, \( U_s \) and \( U_v \), are reference inputs to the low level driver of the robot which regulates the left and the right wheel velocities. The parameters \( V_l \) and \( V_r \) can be obtained by the following
Next, the controllers are described in details.

4.1 The fuzzy steering controller

The objective of this controller is to provide the controlling signal, $U_{s1}$, so that the distance error, the parameter $E$, is quickly minimized. Using the error, we define the two linguistic variables for the fuzzy controller as $E$ and change of $E$, $\Delta E$. The fuzzy controller structure is shown in Figure 8 [27].

![Figure 8 The fuzzy steering controller](image)

The roles of each block are the following:
- the fuzzification converts the input crisp values ($E$, $\Delta E$) into linguistic terms of the input variables, with a correspondent certainty value.
- the knowledge stores the data that defines the input and the output fuzzy sets, as well as the fuzzy rules.
- The inference mechanism applies the fuzzy rules to the input fuzzy variables to obtain the output variable.
- the defuzzification achieves crisp output signal, $U_{s1}$, based on the output fuzzy sets obtained as the result of fuzzy reasoning.

In our system, the input and output fuzzy variables ($E$, $\Delta E$, and $U_{s1}$) have a set of seven linguistic terms {NB, NM, NS, ZE, PS, PM, PB}. The membership functions of these variables are defined of triangle shapes because of its simplification and effectiveness [28] as represented in Figure 9, 10.

![Figure 9 The membership functions of ($E$, $\Delta E$) variables](image)

![Figure 10 The membership functions of $U_{s1}$ variable](image)

The fuzzy rules are constructed based on the robot itself and the expert experience, which is actually a cut and trial procedure. This procedure is performed based on the fact that is as follows.

If $E$ and $\Delta E$ are both positive, it means that the path/line is to the right of the robot and the robot is moving further towards the left away from the path/line. As a result, a positive value of $U_{s1}$ needs to provide to the robot so that the robot moves towards the right to follow the path/line. Similarly, if $E$ and $\Delta E$ are both negative, in other words, the path/line is to the left of the robot and the robot is moving further away from the path towards the right. In this situation, a negative value of $U_{s1}$ is necessary for the robot in order to the robot can track the path/line. On the other hands, when $E$ is positive and $\Delta E$ is negative, the robot is in the process of moving towards the path/line. It is the same for the case with $E$ is negative and $\Delta E$ is positive. Depending on how large values of $E$ and $\Delta E$ are, the value of the output linguistic
The justification of fuzzy control rules based on “scale mappings” method as shown in [29]. As a result, the fuzzy rules of the controller are represented in Table 1 and composed as follows:

**IF E IS ‘A_i’ AND ΔE IS ‘A_j’ THEN ‘U_{st}’ IS ‘Z_i’**

Where A_i, A_j, and Z_i ∈ {NB, NM, NS, ZE, PS, PM, PB} and i = 1, 2, ..., 7.

| E|ΔE→| NB | NB | NB | NB | NB | NM | NS | PS | PM | PB |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| NB | NB | NB | NB | NB | NB | NM | NS | PS | PM | PB |
| NM | NB | NB | NB | NM | NS | ZE | PS | PN | PM | PB |
| NS | NB | NM | NS | NS | ZE | PS | PS | PM | PB | PB |
| PS | NM | NS | ZE | PS | PS | PM | PM | PB | PB | PB |
| PM | NS | ZE | PS | PM | PB | PM | PB | PB | PB | PB |

Where CoA is the center of area, x is the value of the output linguistic variable, and x_{max} and x_{min} represent the range of the linguistic variable [30].

### 4.2 The self-tuning fuzzy PID controller

As described in fig. 7, the fuzzy self-tuning PID controller consists of an adjustable parameter PID controller and a fuzzy tuning mechanism. The PID controller, which generates a control U_{st}(t) based on the parameter E(t), has the standard form as follows:

\[ U_{st} = K_p E(t) + K_i \int E(t) dt + K_d \frac{dE(t)}{dt} \]

Where \( K_p, K_i, K_d \) are, respectively, the proportional gain, the integral gain, and the derivative gain of the controller. The parameters \( K_{p0}, K_{i0}, K_{d0} \) (10, 0.02, 2.5) are the initial estimates of \( K_p, K_i, K_d \), respectively, which are calculated by the relay feedback method described in [31-34], and \( \Delta K_p, \Delta K_i, \Delta K_d \) are adjustments of PID gains, respectively.

There are two common approaches, for the fine-tuning of the PID gains as represented in [35 - 37]. While the authors in [35, 36] proposed the modifying method of the PID gains with a single parameter, the others in [37] used expert systems, which control \( \Delta K_p, \Delta K_i, \Delta K_d \) independently. In this work, the second approach is used because that has more degree of freedom in controlling parameters. The three parameters, \( \Delta K_p, \Delta K_i, \Delta K_d \) are controlled by three independent fuzzy controllers, which have the same structure and inputs as the steering controller as mentioned in the previous section. The output variables of these controllers are \( \Delta K_p, \Delta K_i, \Delta K_d \) which also have a set of seven linguistic terms {NB, NM, NS, ZE, PS, PM, PB} as indicating in figure 11. In which, the values of \( A_i, j \) are \{-3; -1.5; -0.5; 0; 0.5; 1.5; 3\}, \{-0.02; -0.015; -0.005; 0; 0.005; 0.015; 0.02\}, \{-2; -1.5; -0.5; 0; 0.5; 1.5; 2\} corresponding to the membership function setting of the linguistic variables, \( \Delta K_p, \Delta K_i, \Delta K_d \) respectively. These values determined by using the trial and error method and the fact that the range of \( \Delta K_d \) should be smaller than \( \Delta K_p \) in order to avoid the oscillations; on the other hand, \( \Delta K_i \) using to correct the steady state error plays a minor role when the process is reaching the set point.

The fuzzy rules are made by analyzing the influence of each parameter on the system output, which is summarized in Table 2 [38], experiments and corrections.

### 4.3 The fine-tuning process of the steering controller

In this work, the area (E, ΔE) is divided into three sets as shown in figure 11. The fuzzy rules are described in Table 1 [38], and the experimental results are shown in figure 12. The fundamental principles of adjusting PID parameters describes follows:

**Table 1. The rule base for the fuzzy steering controller**

| E|ΔE→| NB | NB | NB | NB | NB | NM | NS | PS | PM | PB |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| NB | NB | NB | NB | NB | NB | NM | NS | PS | PM | PB |
| NM | NB | NB | NB | NM | NS | ZE | PS | PM | PM | PB |
| NS | NB | NM | NS | NS | ZE | PS | PS | PM | PM | PB |
| PS | NM | NS | ZE | PS | PS | PM | PM | PB | PB | PB |
| PM | NS | ZE | PS | PM | PB | PM | PB | PB | PB | PB |

**Figure 11 The membership functions of \( \Delta K_p, \Delta K_i, \Delta K_d \) variables**

**Figure 12 A typical step response**
If |E| is relatively large (III), for accelerating the response rate of the system, regardless of the stage of the robot movement, AK_v should be rapidly increased, and A_E should be slowly increased. Otherwise, if |E| and |ΔE| are of medium size (II), in order to reduce overshoot and absolute error of the system, ΔK_v should be smaller, AK_v have to be applied with moderate values, and A_E should be appropriate. When the robot moves to the position at which |E| is close to the desired value (I), the small ΔK_v, ΔK_e should be provided so as to the system have good steady state performance. Simultaneously, to avoid the system’s oscillation and reduce overshoot, when E and ΔE are both positive or negative, ΔK_d can be large. On the other hands, when E and ΔE are positive and negative, respectively, ΔK_d should be small. It is the same for the case in which E and ΔE are negative and positive.

After many trials with the reasoning method mentioned above, the fuzzy rules for three parameters ΔK_p, ΔK_v, ΔK_d are performed on rules table as shown in Table 3 to Table 5.

Table 3. The rule base for ΔK_v

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>E</th>
<th>ΔE</th>
<th>NB</th>
<th>NM</th>
<th>NS</th>
<th>ZE</th>
<th>PS</th>
<th>PM</th>
<th>PB</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NB</td>
<td>PB</td>
<td>PB</td>
<td>PB</td>
<td>PB</td>
<td>PM</td>
<td>PS</td>
<td>PS</td>
<td>ZE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NM</td>
<td>PB</td>
<td>PB</td>
<td>PB</td>
<td>PM</td>
<td>PM</td>
<td>PS</td>
<td>ZE</td>
<td>ZE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NS</td>
<td>PM</td>
<td>PM</td>
<td>PM</td>
<td>PM</td>
<td>PS</td>
<td>ZE</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZE</td>
<td>PM</td>
<td>PS</td>
<td>PS</td>
<td>ZE</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NM</td>
<td>NM</td>
<td>NM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PS</td>
<td>PS</td>
<td>PS</td>
<td>ZE</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NM</td>
<td>NM</td>
<td>NB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM</td>
<td>ZE</td>
<td>ZE</td>
<td>ZE</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NM</td>
<td>NM</td>
<td>NM</td>
<td>ZE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PB</td>
<td>ZE</td>
<td>ZE</td>
<td>PS</td>
<td>PM</td>
<td>PB</td>
<td>PB</td>
<td>PB</td>
<td>PB</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4. The rule base for ΔK_p

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>E</th>
<th>ΔE</th>
<th>NB</th>
<th>NM</th>
<th>NS</th>
<th>ZE</th>
<th>PS</th>
<th>PM</th>
<th>PB</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NB</td>
<td>NB</td>
<td>NB</td>
<td>NB</td>
<td>NM</td>
<td>NM</td>
<td>ZE</td>
<td>ZE</td>
<td>ZE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NM</td>
<td>NB</td>
<td>NB</td>
<td>NM</td>
<td>NM</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>ZE</td>
<td>ZE</td>
<td>ZE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NM</td>
<td>NM</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>ZE</td>
<td>PS</td>
<td>PS</td>
<td>PS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZE</td>
<td>NM</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>ZE</td>
<td>PS</td>
<td>PS</td>
<td>PM</td>
<td>PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>ZE</td>
<td>PS</td>
<td>PS</td>
<td>PM</td>
<td>PM</td>
<td>PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM</td>
<td>ZE</td>
<td>ZE</td>
<td>ZE</td>
<td>PS</td>
<td>PM</td>
<td>PB</td>
<td>PB</td>
<td>PB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PB</td>
<td>ZE</td>
<td>ZE</td>
<td>PS</td>
<td>PM</td>
<td>PB</td>
<td>PB</td>
<td>PB</td>
<td>PB</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5. The rule base for ΔK_d

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>E</th>
<th>ΔE</th>
<th>NB</th>
<th>NM</th>
<th>NS</th>
<th>ZE</th>
<th>PS</th>
<th>PM</th>
<th>PB</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NB</td>
<td>PM</td>
<td>PM</td>
<td>ZE</td>
<td>ZE</td>
<td>ZE</td>
<td>PS</td>
<td>PS</td>
<td>ZE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NM</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>ZE</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NB</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>ZE</td>
<td>ZE</td>
<td>PM</td>
<td>PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZE</td>
<td>NB</td>
<td>NM</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>ZE</td>
<td>PS</td>
<td>PM</td>
<td>PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PS</td>
<td>PB</td>
<td>NM</td>
<td>ZE</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>PM</td>
<td>PS</td>
<td>PS</td>
<td>PS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM</td>
<td>NM</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>ZE</td>
<td>PS</td>
<td>PS</td>
<td>PS</td>
<td>PS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PB</td>
<td>PS</td>
<td>PS</td>
<td>ZE</td>
<td>ZE</td>
<td>PS</td>
<td>PB</td>
<td>PB</td>
<td>PB</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4.3 The fuzzy velocity controller

As mentioned in section 4, this controller is used for safe tracking of a continuous path/line on the flat ground.

In [24], the authors indicated that the angular velocity, \( \omega \), of the differential-drive wheeled robot can be expressed in terms of the linear velocity, V, and the steering angle, \( \theta \), as follows

\[
\omega = V \cdot f \cdot d, \theta
\]

In addition, with a kinematic limit of the mobile robot, the angular velocity, \( \omega \), also has a limited value such as \( \omega_{\text{max}} \). Therefore, to keep \( \omega \) within the safe kinematic limits, one way is to control the linear velocity, V. In order to achieve the target, a fuzzy controller with the same inputs, structure, inference mechanism, and defuzzification method as the fuzzy steering controller, Section 4.1, is performed.

The output variable of the controller, \( U_v \), has a set of four linguistic terms \{ZE, PS, PM, PB\} as shown in Figure 13. The reason for this is that the steering controller is more important than the velocity controller, which has the main purpose of safe tracking. As a result, only four terms are used so as to reduce the complexity and the computational time of the system.

The rule base of the fuzzy velocity controller is given in Table 6, in which each one emulates human driving behavior such as “If distance error (E) is large and the trend is moving far away the path/line, then speed is low”.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>E</th>
<th>ΔE</th>
<th>NB</th>
<th>NM</th>
<th>NS</th>
<th>ZE</th>
<th>PS</th>
<th>PM</th>
<th>PB</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NB</td>
<td>ZE</td>
<td>ZE</td>
<td>ZE</td>
<td>ZE</td>
<td>ZE</td>
<td>ZE</td>
<td>ZE</td>
<td>ZE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NM</td>
<td>ZE</td>
<td>ZE</td>
<td>PS</td>
<td>PS</td>
<td>PS</td>
<td>ZE</td>
<td>PS</td>
<td>ZE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NS</td>
<td>ZE</td>
<td>PS</td>
<td>PS</td>
<td>PS</td>
<td>PM</td>
<td>PM</td>
<td>PM</td>
<td>PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZE</td>
<td>ZE</td>
<td>PS</td>
<td>PS</td>
<td>PM</td>
<td>PM</td>
<td>ZE</td>
<td>ZE</td>
<td>ZE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PS</td>
<td>ZE</td>
<td>PS</td>
<td>PS</td>
<td>PM</td>
<td>PM</td>
<td>ZE</td>
<td>ZE</td>
<td>ZE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM</td>
<td>ZE</td>
<td>ZE</td>
<td>PS</td>
<td>PS</td>
<td>PS</td>
<td>ZE</td>
<td>ZE</td>
<td>ZE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PB</td>
<td>ZE</td>
<td>ZE</td>
<td>ZE</td>
<td>ZE</td>
<td>ZE</td>
<td>ZE</td>
<td>ZE</td>
<td>ZE</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5. Experimental results

5.1 The mobile robot platform

The experimental mobile robot system is shown in Fig. 1. The physical parameters of the mobile robot are as follows. With reference to Fig. 2, the main drive wheels have the radius \( R = 0.05 \text{ m} \) and mounted on an axle with the length of \( L = 0.35 \text{ m} \). The distance between the wheel’s axis and the central row of ROI, \( d \), is 0.55 m. In all our experiments, the linear forward velocity, V, has the maximum value of 0.12 m/s.
5.2 Control system architecture of the robot
The system configuration of the experimental mobile robot that is used to deploy the path/line tracking algorithm is illustrated in Figure 14. The control algorithms are programmed on the LabVIEW environment and run with the sampling time of 80 ms. After the PC (Core i3, Windows 7) receives the images from the USB camera and does the algorithms, it passes the information about the duties of PWM signals to DAQ Card via RS232 communication. These PWM signals will be used to provide the voltage commands for two DC motors.

$$\|E\|_{\text{rms}} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{T} \int_0^T |E|^2 dt}$$  \hspace{1cm} (11)

The results are shown in Table 7 and Figure 15 to Figure 17.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Controller</th>
<th>Time (s)</th>
<th>$|E|_{\text{rms}}$ (m)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PID</td>
<td>47.6</td>
<td>0.0732</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fuzzy</td>
<td>34.8</td>
<td>0.0355</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hybrid Fuzzy PID</td>
<td>30.8</td>
<td>0.0277</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.3 Test course
To validate the proposed control algorithm, a predefined path is constructed as represented in Figure 15. The path has a major turn and several small curves to check the output variation, which is recorded during the moving process of the mobile robot.

5.4 Experimental results
This section presents a comparison of the PID controller, fuzzy controller, and the hybrid fuzzy PID controller in tracking the predefined path, with the same conditional environment.

If the parameter $E$ is positive, it means the mobile robot is on the left of the path. In contrast, if the tracking error, $E$, is negative, the robot is on the right of the path.

From the above results, it can be said that the proposed control scheme in follow-path/line problem is effective.

6. Conclusion
This work presents a combination of image processing techniques and a developing fuzzy control algorithm. The proposed system consists of two main parts and each one has its own function with the purpose of improving the performance of the system. While the vision system is used for providing the knowledge of the predefined path/line ahead of the mobile robot, the control algorithm produces an
appropriate command so that the mobile robot can track the path successfully. The reported experimental results did prove the effectiveness of the proposed system. In future work, we propose to apply the control algorithm to another platform instead of the PC in order to improve the response time as well as the speed of the mobile robot.
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